



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/859,711	05/17/2001	Thomas E. Chefalas	YOR920010329US1	4104

35526 7590 12/21/2004

DUKE. W. YEE  
YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
P.O. BOX 802333  
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

JUNG, DAVID YIUK

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2134

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application N . 09/859,711	Applicant(s) CHEFALAS ET AL.	
	Examiner David Y Jung	Art Unit 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-84 are presented.

### CLAIM REJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over [http://www.verisign.com/support/tlc/class3\\_install\\_docs/netcape/v00g.html](http://www.verisign.com/support/tlc/class3_install_docs/netcape/v00g.html) ("Verisign") and <http://www-2.cs.cmu.edu/~dmaltz/main-report/node7.html#SECTION00420000000000000000> (Matz).

Regarding claim 1, Verisign teaches "A method in a data processing system for an organization to provide anonymity to a user, the method comprising the data processing system implemented steps of: receiving a request from the user for an anonymous identity; generating the anonymous identity for the user, wherein the anonymous identity includes contact information for the organization; associating the anonymous identity with the user, wherein the user uses the anonymous identity to provide information on a network data processing system; receiving a contact directed

Art Unit: 2134

to the anonymous identity; and ... (section "Activating SSL encryption", i.e. selecting alias for the key-pair file)."

These passages of Verisign do not teach "selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy" in the sense of the claim.

Matz teaches "selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy (the second paragraph, i.e. user-profile based or rule based filters) for the motivation of having select for a user (the first paragraph).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine Verisign and Matz for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 2 (Web server, etc.), such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers.

Regarding claim 3 (billing, etc.), such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of e-commerce across computers. Regarding claims 4-13, such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers.

Regarding claim 14, Verisign teaches "A method in a data processing system for providing anonymity to a user, the method comprising the data processing system implemented steps of: receiving a contact, wherein the contact includes an identification of an entity; comparing the identification of an entity in the contact to a database of aliases; and responsive to identifying the user, (section "Activating SSL encryption", i.e. selecting alias for the key-pair file)."

These passages of Verisign do not teach “selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy” in the sense of the claim.

Matz teaches “selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy (the second paragraph, i.e. user-profile based or rule based filters) for the motivation of having select for a user (the first paragraph).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine Verisign and Matz for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 15-76, such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers.

Regarding claim 77, Verisign teaches “A computer program product in a computer readable medium for providing anonymity to a user, the computer program product comprising: first instructions for receiving a contact, wherein the contact includes an identification of an entity; second instructions for comparing the identification of an entity in the contact to a database of aliases; and third instructions, responsive to identifying the user, for ... (section “Activating SSL encryption”, i.e. selecting alias for the key-pair file).”

These passages of Verisign do not teach “selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy” in the sense of the claim.

Art Unit: 2134

Matz teaches "selectively forwarding the contact to the user based on a policy (the second paragraph, i.e. user-profile based or rule based filters) for the motivation of having select for a user (the first paragraph).

Hence, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to combine Verisgn and Matz for the motivation noted in the previous paragraphs so as to teach the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 77-84, such particular features are well known in the art for the purpose of handling information across computers.

### ***Conclusion***

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

### ***Points of Contact***

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

Art Unit: 2134

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-5606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Greg Morse whose telephone number is (571) 272-3838.

David Jung

-----

Patent Examiner

12/13/04

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a horizontal line that ends in a small upward hook.